

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,169	02/14/2001		Shoji Hara	010164	2107
	7590	01/21/2003			
		ESTERMAN &	EXAMINER		
1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000				TALBOT, BRIAN K	
WASHINGTO	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762 DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	/3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1100					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/782,169	HARA ET AL.					
,,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian K Talbot	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspond nce address					
THE REPLY FILED 30 December 2002 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in					
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.					
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see	reconsideration has been cons ereasonings given in the Final reje	sidered but does NOT place the ction mailed 8/30/02.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 14-16.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•					
0. Other: See Continuation Sheet							
		Republic					
		Brian K Talbot Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1762					
Patent and Trademark Office							

Continuation of 10. Other: The Declaration is noted, however the claimed process having a polyimide layer is depicted by the prior art. See Rejection for clarification..

BRIAN K. TALBOT
PRIMARY EXAMINER